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Effect of low density lipoprotein on the quality of cryopreserved dog semen
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Abstract

Egg yolk is included in extenders for semen cryopreservation due to its protective effect against cold shock, which is attributed to the presence of low density lipoprotein (LDL). This study evaluates how semen quality is affected by using LDL as a replacement for egg yolk in extenders for cooled and frozen dog semen. In Experiment 1, semen was extended in TRIS–glucose at 5 °C, in four treatments: 20% egg yolk (T1); 6% (T2); 8% (T3); and 10% LDL (T4). Sperm motility and membrane integrity after 24, 48, 72 and 96 h and the 50% conservation rate of motile spermatozoa (50 M) were evaluated. The 50 M was less for T1 than for the other treatments (P < 0.01), but T2–T4 did not differ (P > 0.05). In Experiment 2, glycerol at 10% was included in the freezing extender, in treatments similar to those from Experiment 1. Sperm motility and membrane integrity did not differ for T2, T3 and T4 at any period in Experiment 1 and after thawing in Experiment 2 (P > 0.05), but were greater for all LDL treatments than for T1 (P < 0.01), in both experiments. Thus, LDL can replace egg yolk in the composition of the TRIS–glucose extender for cooled or frozen dog semen.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

The expansion of the use of cryopreserved dog semen has been limited by the occurrence of damages in both structure and function of the sperm cells, which are attributed to cold shock during cryopreservation, impairing their viability. Thus, such damage could be prevented by the inclusion of cryoprotectant substances in extenders for cooling and/or freezing sperm. Although the egg yolk is one of the most commonly used cryoprotectants (England, 1993), it includes other substances that can have negative effects on sperm motility (Pace and Graham, 1974).

The efficiency of the egg yolk as a cryoprotectant is attributed to its content of low density lipoprotein (LDL), which adheres to the sperm cell membrane (Graham and Foote, 1987; Bergeron et al., 2004), forming an interfacial film between fatty acids and water (Anton et al., 2003). The LDL would promote the entry of phospholipids and cholesterol into the cell membrane (Bergeron et al., 2004); building a complex with seminal plasma proteins, making them unavailable to function in the membrane (Manjunath et al., 2002; Bergeron et al., 2004). The inclusion of LDL purified from egg yolk in extenders was successful for cryopreservation of semen from boars (Jiang et al., 2007) and bulls (Moussa et al., 2002; Amirat et al., 2004), but it was not tested for dog semen. This study evaluated the effect of the inclusion of purified LDL as a replacement for egg yolk, in extenders for dog semen, on variables of semen quality after cooling at 5 °C and freezing.

2. Materials and methods

The first experiment (EXP1) was conducted with semen cooled at 5 °C. Four Cocker Spaniel dogs from a local breeder were used as semen donors with five weekly semen collections per dog. The second experiment (EXP2) was conducted with frozen semen, with addition of glycerol to the freezing extender. Semen donors were four Cocker Spaniel dogs from a local breeder (three weekly semen collections) and two German Shepherd dogs from the local police (four weekly semen collections). In both experiments, only the second fraction of the ejaculates (rich in spermatozoa) was collected, through digital manipulation (Christiansen, 1986). Only ejaculates having sperm motility (MOT) of at least 80% at the time of collection were processed. In EXP1, sperm MOT and membrane integrity (MI) were evaluated after 24, 48, 72 and 96 h and the 50% conservation rate of motile spermatozoa (50 M) was recorded (Iguer-Ouada and Verstegen, 2001). In EXP2, sperm MOT was evaluated pre-freezing and post-thawing, whereas sperm MI was only evaluated post-thawing. Sperm MOT was estimated with an optical microscope (200×) with phase contrast, using 10 µL of semen on a slip covered with a coverslip, both pre-heated at 37 °C. Sperm MI was evaluated through the hyposmotic swelling test (Kumi-Diaka, 1993), based on the count of 100 cells in a Neubauer chamber, with an optical microscope (200×) with phase contrast, to identify spermatozoa with bent or rolled tails. All evaluations were conducted by the same trained technician.

LDL was obtained from chicken eggs. Every yolk was separated from the white, put on a paper filter to remove traces of the white in the vitelline membrane and broken into a Beaker cooled in ice. The yolk’s plasmatic fraction was separated as described by Mcbee and Cotterill (1979). The yolk’s plasma was extracted as described by Moussa et al. (2002). In EXP1, samples were diluted 1:5 (v/v) in TRIS–glucose (Iguer-Ouada and Verstegen, 2001) at 37 °C and then centrifuged at 800 × g for 5 min. After discharging the supernatant, the pellet was re-suspended in 1 mL of TRIS–glucose. The sperm concentration was determined with a Neubauer chamber: all samples had 4 × 10^8 spermatozoa/mL. After that, semen samples were allocated to four treatments diluted 1:1 (v/v): TRIS–glucose, with inclusion of 40% of egg yolk; and 12%, 16% and 20% of LDL (T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively). Thus, T1, T2, T3 and T4 had a final concentration of 20% of egg yolk, 6%, 8% and 10% of LDL, respectively, and all samples had 2 × 10^8 spermatozoa/mL. The tested LDL concentrations were those reported by Moussa et al. (2002). The samples were submerged in 200 mL of isothermal water (Linde-Forsberg and Forsberg, 1993), kept at 20 °C for 1 h and, after that, cooled for 2 h, until the temperature reached 5 °C (0 h). In EXP2, samples were re-diluted 1/1 (v/v) in treatments similar to those from EXP1, with addition of 10% of glycerol (Synth, Diadema, SP, Brazil): T1 including 20% of egg yolk; T2, T3 and T4 including 6%, 8%, and 10% of LDL, respectively. Thus, all treatments included glycerol at 5%. Semen samples remained in contact with glycerol for 10 min. After that, samples were stored in 0.5 mL straws, which were exposed...
to steam of liquid nitrogen for 10 min and then submerged in liquid nitrogen. Semen thawing was conducted at 70 °C, for 8 s. Thawed samples were diluted in 1 mL of TRIS–glucose at 37 °C.

The effects on sperm MOT and MI (in both experiments) and on 50 M (in EXP1) were tested by analysis of variance with repeated measures considering: treatments; day of semen collection; treatment per collection interaction; and individual dog effect nested within treatments. Comparisons of means ± S.E.M. were done with the LSD test. For EXP1, four linear regression models (one per treatment) were conducted to predict the variation on sperm MOT and MI as a function of the time of preservation. All analyses were conducted with the Statistix® software (2003).

3. Results

In EXP1, sperm MOT after collection was 94.2% ± 6.2. At 0 h, sperm MOT did not differ (P>0.05) among treatments (Table 1). For T1, sperm MOT at 5 °C was less than that for all other treatments, from 24 to 96 h (P<0.01), but no differences were observed among LDL treatments, in any period (P>0.05). Although the mean 50 M for T2, T3 and T4 (204.4, 212.1 and 200.6 h, respectively) did not differ (P>0.05), all those means were greater (P<0.01) than for T1 (152.6 ± 6.4 h). Sperm MI was less for T1 than for the LDL treatments (P<0.01), in all periods (Table 2).

The linear regression models predicted that sperm MOT would decrease as the conditioning time increased, in all treatments (all P<0.01). For T1, the equation was: MOT = 90.6 – 0.31771(h), with a R^2 of 0.55. The equation for T2 was: MOT = 92.45 – 0.27708(h) (R^2 = 0.46). For T3, MOT would be equal to 92.75 – 0.17706(h), with a R^2 equal to 0.39. For T4, MOT would be predicted by the following equation: 92.3 – 0.23125(h), with a R^2 of 0.49. Sperm MI would also decrease as a function of time in all treatments (all P<0.01). For T1, sperm MI would be equal to 50.725 – 0.14562(h) (R^2 = 0.12). For T2, sperm MI would be equal to 68.450 – 0.22771(h), with a R^2 of 0.23. Sperm MI on T3 would be predicted by the equation: 63.125 – 0.15562(h), with a R^2 of 0.12. The equation for T4 was: MI = 61.775 – 0.17146(h) (R^2 = 0.12).

In EXP2, mean sperm MOT after collection was 87.3% ± 5.5, without differences (P>0.5) for T1, T2, T3 and T4 (80.0 ± 1.8, 80.5 ± 1.7, 81.0 ± 1.6 and 81.5 ± 1.5, respectively). Post-thawing sperm MOT was greater (P<0.01) for T2, T3 and T4 (66.5 ± 2.1, 70.0 ± 2.0 and 67.5 ± 2.6, respectively) than for T1 (55.0 ± 2.6), with no differences among LDL treatments (P>0.05). Post-thawing sperm MI for T2, T3

Table 1
Sperm motility (%) by treatment for dog semen cooled at 5 °C after different storage periods (means ± S.E.M.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (h)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.0 ± 1.3^a</td>
<td>79.0 ± 2.0^a</td>
<td>73.7 ± 1.9^a</td>
<td>68.2 ± 2.3^a</td>
<td>61.5 ± 2.8^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>86.5 ± 1.3^a</td>
<td>83.5 ± 1.9^b</td>
<td>83.0 ± 1.9^b</td>
<td>76.5 ± 1.9^b</td>
<td>70.5 ± 2.0^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>88.5 ± 1.1^a</td>
<td>86.5 ± 1.3^b</td>
<td>83.5 ± 1.7^b</td>
<td>81.5 ± 1.9^b</td>
<td>75.5 ± 2.0^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.0 ± 1.3^a</td>
<td>83.5 ± 2.1^b</td>
<td>81.7 ± 1.7^b</td>
<td>76.5 ± 1.8^b</td>
<td>70.0 ± 1.8^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: Tris–glucose plus 20% of egg yolk; T2: Tris–glucose plus 6% LDL; T3: Tris–glucose plus 8% LDL; T4: Tris–glucose plus 10% LDL. Means having different superscripts (a, b) differ in the columns by at least P<0.01.

Table 2
Sperm membrane integrity (%) by treatment for dog semen cooled at 5 °C after different storage periods (means ± S.E.M.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (h)</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.2 ± 2.5^a</td>
<td>43.9 ± 2.6^a</td>
<td>42.7 ± 2.5^a</td>
<td>35.0 ± 2.1^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.6 ± 2.9^b</td>
<td>57.0 ± 2.9^b</td>
<td>54.2 ± 2.5^b</td>
<td>45.3 ± 1.7^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.1 ± 2.9^b</td>
<td>55.0 ± 3.2^b</td>
<td>54.0 ± 2.2^b</td>
<td>47.0 ± 1.9^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.3 ± 3.4^b</td>
<td>54.0 ± 2.9^b</td>
<td>49.4 ± 2.3^b</td>
<td>45.1 ± 2.4^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: Tris–glucose plus 20% of egg yolk; T2: Tris–glucose plus 6% LDL; T3: Tris–glucose plus 8% LDL; T4: Tris–glucose plus 10% LDL. Means having different superscripts (a, b) differ in the columns by at least P<0.01.
and T4 (38.2 ± 3.1, 42.4 ± 2.9 and 39.4 ± 2.8, respectively) were greater (P < 0.01) than for T1 (19.9 ± 2.8), but it did not differ among LDL treatments (P > 0.05).

4. Discussion

Although LDL has been used as a cryoprotectant for extended semen in some species (Moussa et al., 2002; Amirat et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2007), the present study is the first to report its use for dog semen. LDL can replace egg yolk in the composition of the TRIS-glucose extender for cryopreserved dog semen due to improvement in sperm MOT and MI. As no effect of LDL concentration was observed, even the least tested concentration could protect cryopreserved dog sperm against cold shock. However, results from the present suggest that LDL at 8% would be more effective.

The prediction of sperm MI over time emphasizes the efficiency of the cryoprotectant effect of LDL, in all three concentrations, already after 12 h of preservation, which would be maintained even after 96 h. Although sperm MI would decrease over time in all treatments, the MI for the LDL treatments after 84 h of preservation would be similar to that observed for T1 after only 12 h (72 h earlier). As the 50 M was greater for LDL treatments than for T1 in EXP1, LDL was efficient in keeping long-term viability of cryopreserved dog semen, as reported in bulls (Moussa et al., 2002). The benefit of LDL for sperm MOT over time, in all three concentrations, was also evident in the regression models, because differences for LDL treatments in comparison with T1 became evident after 48 h of cryopreservation. Thus, as early as after 12 h, the LDL would already protect the sperm cell membrane against cold shock when sperm MOT would still be at acceptable levels even without LDL. At later preservation periods, however, LDL would be important for maintenance of both traits, even though the linear decrease over time would be more characteristic for sperm MOT than for MI, as indicated by the greater R^2 observed in the models for sperm MOT. That also suggests that other factors, besides time, could influence the reduction in sperm MI over time, regardless of the cryoprotectant used, but the effect of such factors on sperm MOT would be less intense when using LDL. Although distinct studies cannot be statistically compared, the mean sperm MOT for frozen semen in the LDL treatments are apparently greater than those described by Yildiz et al. (2000) using the same extender but including different sugars.

Manjunath et al. (2002), Moussa et al. (2002), Amirat et al. (2004) and Jiang et al. (2007) found that LDL protected sperm cells against cold shock by preventing the efflux of phospholipids and cholesterol from the sperm cell membrane and also by promoting the addition of phospholipids and cholesterol into the sperm cell membrane (Bergeron et al., 2004). In the LDL treatments, the improved cryoprotection may also be related to the removal of substances present in the egg yolk (granules, minerals and high density lipoproteins) that may have negative effects on sperm viability (Face and Graham, 1974; Thérien et al., 1999). In conclusion, the replacement of egg yolk by LDL in the composition of extenders was beneficial for sperm MOT and MI of cooled and frozen dog sperm. Furthermore, for cooled semen, the use of LDL allowed the conservation of sperm MOT up to 50% for periods longer than those observed for the control treatment.
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